UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,659	11/08/2006	Shigetoshi Sugawa	039262-0159	2132
	7590 02/01/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	ARMAND, MARC ANTHONY		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/590,659	SUGAWA, SHIGETOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARC ARMAND	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	ovember 2007				
	action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —		secution as to the merits is			
	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.					
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
TT) The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	<ul><li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li><li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ul>				
	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/25/2006.  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other:					
гарет то(э/лиан Date <u>00/23/2000</u> . 0) ☐ Онег					

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 11/15/2007 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rhodes at al., (Rhodes) US 2004/0251394.

Regarding claim 1, Rhodes shows in fig.1A a solid-state imaging device having an integrated array of a plurality of pixels, each pixel comprising: a photodiode (12)(para 0028) for receiving light and generating photoelectric charges; a transfer transistor (14)(para 0028) for transferring the photoelectric charges; and a storage capacitor (32) (para 0028) element coupled to the photodiode (12) at least through the transfer transistor (14) for accumulating, at least through the transfer transistor, the photoelectric charges overflowing from the photodiode during accumulating operation.

AS for the statements "for accumulating, at least through the transfer transistor, the photoelectric charges overflowing from the photodiode during accumulating operation" is considered functional limitations. Labels, statements of intended use, or

Art Unit: 2814

functional language do not structurally distinguish claims over prior art. The structure of the device is substantially identical to that of the claimed structure which can function in the same manner, be labeled in the same manner, or be used in the same manner.

MPEP 2112.01.

Regarding claim 2, Rhodes shows in fig. 1A a solid-state imaging device comprising between the transfer transistor (14) and the storage capacitor element (32): a floating region (22) (para 0028) to which the photoelectric charges are transferred via the transfer transistor; and a storage transistor (30) (para 0028) operative to couple or split potentials of the floating region and the storage capacitor element.

As for the statements "operative to couple or split potentials of the floating region and the storage capacitor element"; they are considered functional limitations. Labels, statements of intended use, or functional language do not structurally distinguish claims over prior art. The structure of the device is substantially identical to that of the claimed structure which can function in the same manner, be labeled in the same manner, or be used in the same manner. *MPEP 2112.01*.

Regarding claim 3, Rhodes shows in fig. 1A an imaging device having a reset transistor (16) coupled to the floating region (22) for discharging the photoelectric charges of the floating region; an amplifier transistor (18) for amplifying the photoelectric charges in the floating region for conversion to a voltage signal; and a selection transistor (20) coupled to the amplifier transistor for selecting the pixel.

Art Unit: 2814

As for the statements "for amplifying the photoelectric charges in the floating region for conversion to a voltage signal"; they are considered functional limitations. Labels, statements of intended use, or functional language do not structurally distinguish claims over prior art. The structure of the device is substantially identical to that of the claimed structure which can function in the same manner, be labeled in the same manner, or be used in the same manner. *MPEP 2112.01*.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merril; (Merril) US 2002/0036700.

Regarding claim 1, Merril shows in fig.7 a solid-state imaging device having an integrated array of a plurality of pixels, each pixel comprising: a photodiode (202)(para 0043) for receiving light and generating photoelectric charges; a transfer transistor (212)(para 0044) for transferring the photoelectric charges; and a storage capacitor (216) (para 0044) element coupled to the photodiode (202) at least through the transfer transistor (212) for accumulating, at least through the transfer transistor, the photoelectric charges overflowing from the photodiode during accumulating operation.

Art Unit: 2814

AS for the statements "for accumulating, at least through the transfer transistor, the photoelectric charges overflowing from the photodiode during accumulating operation" is considered functional limitations. Labels, statements of intended use, or functional language do not structurally distinguish claims over prior art. The structure of the device is substantially identical to that of the claimed structure which can function in the same manner, be labeled in the same manner, or be used in the same manner.

MPEP 2112.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes in view of Nakamura; (Nakamura) US2002/0021121.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Rhodes discloses in fig.1A an imager.

Rhodes differs from the claimed invention because he does not explicitly disclose an imager device having a logarithmic conversion circuit for executing logarithmic conversion for executing logarithmic conversion of the photoelectric charges accumulated in the storage capacitor element for readout and overflowing from the photodiode for accumulation in the storage capacitor element

Nakamura discloses (para 0133) an imager device having a logarithmic conversion circuit for executing logarithmic conversion of charges.

Nakamura is evidence that ordinary workers skilled in the art would find reasons, suggestions or motivations to modify the device of Rhodes. Therefore, At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to have an imager device having a logarithmic conversion circuit for executing logarithmic conversion of charges; teaching of Nakamura in it's device because it will provide a device that output electric signal proportional to the intensity of the light (para 0052) and will also improve the light condition (para 0004).

As for the statements "for executing logarithmic conversion of the photoelectric charges accumulated in the storage capacitor element for readout; for executing logarithmic conversion of the photoelectric charges accumulated in the storage capacitor element for readout; it is considered functional limitations. Labels, statements of intended use, or functional language do not structurally distinguish claims over prior art. The structure of the device is substantially identical to that of the claimed structure

Art Unit: 2814

which can function in the same manner, be labeled in the same manner, or be used in the same manner. *MPEP 2112.01*.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC ARMAND whose telephone number is (571)272-9751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 9-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marc-Anthony Armand Examiner Art Unit 2814 /Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814

/M. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2814 Application/Control Number: 10/590,659

Page 8

Art Unit: 2814